## 34 Almost hyperproduct structures on manifolds

Dedicated to Acad. Prof. Dr. Radu MIRON on the occasion of his 75th birthday

An. şt. Univ. "Al.I. Cuza", Iaşi t. XLVIII, s. I.a, Mat., 2002, f. 2, 337-354.

1. Introduction. The almost hyperproduct (ahp)-structures on a manifold were considered, together with other important structures, by Liberman [6]. New properties of these structures were established by Walker [9, 10]. Legrand [5], Hsu [4], Vidal and Vidal Costa [8] and others, in the more general setting of the r- $\pi$ -structures on manifolds.

In this paper, we give a new definition for an *ahp*-structure and we establish its equivalence with other geometric structures. We study then the compatibility of the *ahp*-structures with metrics and linear connections, their integrability and we determine two canonical connections compatible with a metric *ahp*-structure. Finally, we give as example, a metric *ahp*-structure on the tangent bundle, obtained by lifting a metric almost product structure off the base manifold.

## 2. Hyperproduct structures on a vector space

**Definition 2.1.** A hyperproduct (hp)-structure on a real vector space V is a triple (F, G, H) of automorphisms of V which satisfy the following conditions:

1) 
$$F^2 = G^2 = H^2 = F \circ G \circ H = I$$

2) I, F, G, H are linear independent.

It results from here

3) 
$$F \circ G = G \circ F = H$$
,  $G \circ H = H \circ G = F$ ,  $H \circ F = F \circ H = G$ .

Considering the projectors  $F_1 = \frac{I+F}{2}$ ,  $F_2 = \frac{I-F}{2}$ , etc., we obtain

4) 
$$F_a \circ G_b = G_b \circ F_a$$
,  $G_a \circ H_b = H_b \circ G_a$ ,  $H_a \circ F_b = F_b \circ H_a$ ,  $a,b=1,2$ 

and

5) 
$$F_1 \circ G_1 = G_1 \circ H_1 = H_1 \circ F_1, \ F_1 \circ G_2 = G_2 \circ H_2 = H_2 \circ F_1, \ F_2 \circ G_1 = G_1 \circ H_2 = H_2 \circ F_2, \ F_2 \circ G_2 = G_2 \circ H_1 = H_1 \circ F_2.$$

From these relations and  $(F_1 + F_2) \circ (G_1 + G_2) = I$ , it follows

$$F_1 \circ G_1 + F_1 \circ G_2 + F_2 \circ G_1 + F_2 \circ G_2 = I$$

and setting

6) 
$$P_1 = F_1 \circ G_1$$
,  $P_2 = F_1 \circ G_2$ ,  $P_3 = F_2 \circ G_1$ ,  $P_4 = F_2 \circ G_2$ ,

one obtains

7) 
$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} P_{\alpha} = I$$
,  $P_{\alpha}^{2} = P_{\alpha}$ ,  $P_{\alpha} \circ P_{\beta} = 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

Therefore,  $P_{\alpha}$  are independent and supplementary projectors on V. Setting then

8) 
$$W_{\alpha} = P_{\alpha}(V), \ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

it results that  $W_{\alpha}$  are independent and supplementary subspaces of V, i.e.

9) 
$$V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_4$$
.

Denoting with  $(F^+, F^-)$ ,  $G^+, G^-$ ),  $(H^+, H^-)$  the eigensubspaces of F, G, H corresponding to +1 and -1, it follows

10) 
$$W_1 = F^+ \cap G^+ = G^+ \cap H^+ = H^+ \cap F^+,$$
  
 $W_2 = F^+ \cap G^- = G^- \cap H^- = H^- \cap F^+,$   
 $W_3 = F^- \cap G^+ = G^+ \cap H^- = H^- \cap F^-,$   
 $W_4 = F^- \cap G^- = G^- \cap H^+ = H^+ \cap F^-.$ 

From here it results

11) 
$$F^+ = W_1 \oplus W_2$$
,  $F^- = W_3 \oplus W_4$ ,  $G^+ = W_1 \oplus W_3$ ,  $G^- = W_2 \oplus W_4$ ,  $H^+ = W_1 \oplus W_4$ ,  $H^- = W_2 \oplus W_3$ .

Setting  $n_{\alpha} = \dim W_{\alpha}$ , we obtain  $n_1 + n_2 = \dim F^+$ ,  $n_3 + n_4 = \dim F^-$ , etc., and  $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 = \dim V$ . If F, G, H are paracomplex (pc)-structures, i.e.  $\operatorname{tr} F = \operatorname{tr} G = \operatorname{tr} H = 0$ , then  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = n$  and  $\dim V = 4n$ . We remark that if two of the structures F, G, H are paracomplex, the rested is not necessary paracomplex.

Let  $Q_{\alpha} = I - P_{\alpha}$  be the supplementary projector of  $P_{\alpha}$  and  $\phi_{\alpha} = 2P_{\alpha} - I$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , be the associated product structures. Denoting  $\overline{W}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} W_{\alpha}$ , we obtain for the eigensubspaces of  $\phi_{\alpha}$ 

12) 
$$\phi_{\alpha}^+ = W_{\alpha}$$
,  $\phi_{\alpha}^- = \overline{W}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

We have also the relations

13) 
$$\phi_{\alpha}^2 = I$$
,  $\phi_{\alpha} \circ \phi_{\beta} = \phi_{\beta} \circ \phi_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 \circ \phi_3 \circ \phi_4 = -I$ ,

14) 
$$F = -\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 = \phi_3 \circ \phi_4$$
,  $G = -\phi_1 \circ \phi_3 = \phi_2 \circ \phi_4$ ,  $H = -\phi_1 \circ \phi_4 = \phi_2 \circ \phi_3$ .

We remark the useful relations

15) 
$$4P_1 = I + F + G + H$$
,  $4P_2 = I + F - G - H$ ,  $4P_3 = I - F + G - H$ ,  $4P_4 = I - F - G + H$ 

and

16) 
$$F = P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - P_4$$
,  $G = P_1 - P_2 + P_3 - P_4$ ,  $G = P_1 - P_2 - P_3 + P_4$ .

Considering on  $F^+$  and  $F^-$  the product structures  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  given by  $\varphi^+ = W_1$ ,  $\varphi^- = W_2$ ,  $\psi^+ = W_3$ ,  $\psi^- = W_4$ , we obtain for G and H

17) 
$$G = \varphi \circ F_1 + \psi \circ F_2$$
,  $H = \varphi \circ F_1 - \psi \circ F_2$ .

From the previous considerations it follows that to an hp-structure on V we can associate the following systems of subspaces  $\{W_{\alpha}\}$ ,  $\{W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha} \oplus W_{\beta}\}$ ,  $\{\overline{W}_{\alpha}\}$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . We can associate also some equivalent structures:  $\{P_{\alpha}\}$ ,  $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $\{F, \varphi, \psi\}$ , which must satisfy certain conditions resulting from 1) and 2).

In a canonical basis for the hp-structure (F, G, H), that is formed by vectors situated in  $W_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , we obtain for F, G, H the matrices

$$F = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} I & & & \\ & I & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & -I \end{array} \right], \quad G = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} I & & & \\ & -I & & \\ & & I & \\ & & & -I \end{array} \right], \quad H = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} I & & & \\ & -I & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & I \end{array} \right],$$

with the diagonal blocs formed by the  $(\pm)$ -unitary matrices of dimensions  $n_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . It follows from here that the group of automorphisms for the hp-structure (F, G, H) is isomorphic with  $GL(n_1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(n_2, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(n_3, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(n_4, \mathbb{R})$ .

For a metric h on V, i.e. a symmetric and nondegenerate (0,2)-tensor, we consider the metrics

19) 
$$g_1 = h \circ (I \times I + F \times F + G \times G + H \times H), \ g_2 = g_1 \circ (F \times I), \ g_3 = g_1 \circ (G \times I), \ g_4 = g_1 \circ (H \times I).$$

It follows

20) 
$$g_{\alpha} \circ (F \times F) = g_{\alpha} \circ (G \times G) = g_{\alpha} \circ (H \times H) = g_{\alpha}, \ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$  be the set of previous metrics and  $\mathcal{G} = \{I, F, G, H\}$  the subgroup of GL(V) determined by the hp-structure (F, G, H). Setting

$$T(g,(u,v)) = g \circ (u \times v), \ \forall g \in \mathcal{M}, \ u,v \in \mathcal{G},$$

one obtains a right action of the group  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  are invariant to the restriction of the action T of  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$  to its diagonal subgroup.

**Definition 2.2.** We call the structure  $(F, G, H, g_1)$  a metric hyperproduct (mhp)-structure on V and  $g_2, g_3, g_4$  the associated metrics.

If h is an Euclidean metric, then  $g_1$  is also Euclidean and  $g_2, g_3, g_4$  are pseudo–Euclidean. If F, G, H are pc-structures and h is Euclidean metric, then the structures  $(F, g_1), (G, g_1), (H, g_1)$  are Euclidean mpc-structures. Setting  $\overset{\alpha}{g} = g_1/W_{\alpha}, \ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , we obtain a metric on each of the subspaces  $W_{\alpha}$ . All  $\overset{\alpha}{g}$  are Euclidean when  $g_1$  is Euclidean. The pairs  $(F^+, F^-), (G^+, G^-), (H^+, H^-)$  are then formed by orthogonal subspaces of V, with respect to all  $g_{\alpha}$  and  $W_{\alpha}$  are also orthogonal subspaces for all  $g_{\alpha}$ . If  $g_1$  is an Euclidean metric on V, then in an orthogonal basis on V, formed by vectors situated in  $W_{\alpha}$ , we obtain

$$21) \ g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & I & & \\ & & I & \\ & & & I \end{bmatrix}, \ g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & I & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & -I \end{bmatrix}, \ g_3 = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & -I & & \\ & & I & \\ & & & -I \end{bmatrix}, \ g_4 = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & -I & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, for an Euclidean mhp-structure  $(F, G, H, g_1)$ , the automorphism group is isomorphic with  $\mathcal{O}(n_1, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(n_2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(n_3, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(n_4, \mathbb{R})$ .

Almost hyperproduct structures on a manifold. Let M be a paracompact  $C^{\infty}$ -manifold,  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  the ring of real functions,  $\mathcal{D}_s^r(M)$  the  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  module of (r,s) tensor fields,  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  the  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -tensor algebra and  $\mathcal{D}er(M)$  the  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -module of derivations for  $\mathcal{D}(M)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** An almost hyperproduct (ahp)-structure on M is a triple (F, G, H) of (1,1) tensor fields, which satisfies the conditions 1) and 2) for each  $x \in M$ .

All the considerations from the previous section may be extended to the tangent bundle TM. For an ahp-structure (F,G,H) on M, we denote by  $F^\pm,G^\pm,H^\pm$  the eigendistributions (or subbundles of TM), corresponding to  $\pm 1$  and by  $F_a,G_a,H_a,~a=1,2$ , the projectors of F,G,H on  $F^\pm,~G^\pm,~H^\pm$  respectively. We consider also the projectors  $P_\alpha,~\alpha=1,2,3,4$ , given by 6), the supplementary projectors  $Q_\alpha=I-P_\alpha$  and the distributions (subbundles of TM)

22) 
$$W_{\alpha} = P_{\alpha}(TM), \ W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha} \oplus W_{\beta}, \ \overline{W}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} W_{\beta}, \ \alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

These distributions are related to the eigendistibutions of F, G, H by the relations 10) and 11) and they will be called the *distributions* of the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H). We denote by  $\mathcal{D}^1(M, W_{\alpha})$  the  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -module of the sections of the subbundle  $W_{\alpha}$ .

If  $\nabla^{\circ}$  is a connection on M, then each connection  $\nabla$  on M may be written in the form

23) 
$$\nabla = \nabla^{\circ} + \tau$$
,

where  $\tau$  is an (1,2) tensor field on M. That is, for  $X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$  we have

$$\nabla_X = \nabla_X^\circ + \tau_X,$$

where  $\nabla_X$  and  $\nabla_X^{\circ}$  are derivations in  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  and  $\tau_X$  is the (1,1) tensor field given by  $\tau_X(Y) = \tau(X,Y)$ , or  $\tau_X$  is a derivation in  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  with  $\tau_X(f) = 0$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ . From here it follows the useful result.

**Proposition 3.1.** The set C(M) of the connections on M is an F(N)-affine module (space) [1] associated to F(M)-linear module  $\mathcal{D}_2^1(M)$ .

Let F be an almost product (ap)-structure on M. Setting

24) 
$$\psi_F(\nabla)_X = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X + F \circ \nabla_X \circ F), \ \chi_F(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_X + F \circ \tau_X \circ F),$$

 $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ , it follows  $\psi_F(\nabla) \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ ,  $\chi_F(\tau) \in \mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$  and

25) 
$$\psi_F^2 = \psi_F$$
,  $\chi_F^2 = \chi_F$ ,  $\psi_F(\nabla + \tau) = \psi_F(\nabla) + \chi_F(\tau)$ .

Hence,  $\psi_F$  is the  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -affine projector on  $\mathcal{C}(M)$ , associated to  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -linear projector  $\chi_F$  on  $\mathcal{D}_2^1(M)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A connection  $\nabla$  on M is called *compatible* with the *ap*-structure F (or is an F-connection) if it satisfies

26) 
$$\nabla F = 0$$
.

It is easy to see that  $\nabla F = 0$  if and only if  $\nabla$  preserves by parallelism the eigendistributions  $F^+$  and  $F^-$  of F. From the expression of  $\psi_F(\nabla)$  it results

27) 
$$\psi_F(\nabla)_X(F) = 0, \ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M),$$

i.e. the image of any connection  $\nabla$  by the projector  $\psi_F$  is an F-connection. Conversely, if  $\nabla_X F = 0$  it follows  $\nabla_X \circ F - F \circ \nabla_X = 0$  and so,  $\psi_F(\nabla)_X = \nabla_X$ ,  $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$  i.e.  $\nabla \in \text{Im } \psi_F$ . Thus, we have

**Theorem 3.1.** The set  $C_F(M)$  of the connections compatible with the ap-structure F is the affine submodule of C(M), which is the image of the affine projector  $\psi_F$ 

28) 
$$C_F(M) = \operatorname{Im} \psi_F$$
.

Considering on  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  the *conjugation* with respect to F i.e. the  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -automorphism  $C_F: \mathcal{C}(M) \to \mathcal{C}(M)$  given by

29) 
$$C_F(\nabla)_X = F \circ \nabla_X \circ F, \ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M),$$

we obtain

30) 
$$\psi_F(\nabla) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla + C_F(\nabla)),$$

i.e.  $C_F$  is the affine symmetry of the affine module  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  with respect to affine submodule  $\mathcal{C}_F(M)$ , made parallely with the linear submodule  $\operatorname{Ker} \chi_F$ . Hence,  $\psi_F(\nabla)$  is the *mean* connection of  $\nabla$  and its conjugate with respect to F.  $\psi_F(\nabla)$  will be called the F-connection associated to  $\nabla$  with respect to ap-structure F.

Let  $\nabla^{\circ}$  be a fixed connection on M. Since  $\mathcal{C}_F(M) = \operatorname{Im} \psi_F$ , then for each connection  $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}_F(M)$  there exists  $\nabla' \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  so that  $\nabla = \psi_F(\nabla')$ . But from 23), there exists  $\tau \in \mathcal{D}_2^1(M)$  so that  $\nabla' = \nabla^{\circ} + \tau$  and therefore,  $\nabla = \psi_F(\nabla^{\circ} + \tau)$ . Then from 25) it follows

**Theorem 3.2.** The set  $C_F(M)$  of the connections  $\nabla$  compatible with the ap-structure F is given by

31) 
$$\nabla = \psi_F(\nabla^\circ) + \chi_F(\tau),$$

where  $\nabla^{\circ}$  is a fixed connection on M and  $\tau$  is an arbitrary (1,2)-tensor field on M.

Hence  $C_F(M)$  is the affine submodule of C(M) which passes through the F-connection  $\psi_F(\nabla^\circ)$  and has as direction the linear submodule  $\operatorname{Im} \chi_F$  of  $\mathcal{D}_2^1(M)$ .

**Definition 3.3.** A connection  $\nabla$  is called *compatible* with the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H) (or is an (F, G, H)-connection) if it satisfies

32) 
$$\nabla F = 0$$
,  $\nabla G = 0$ ,  $\nabla H = 0$ .

It is clear that if we have, for example,  $\nabla F = 0$ ,  $\nabla G = 0$ , then we have also

33) 
$$\nabla H = 0$$
,  $\nabla F_a = 0$ ,  $\nabla G_a = 0$ ,  $\nabla H_a = 0$ ,  $a = 1, 2$ ,  $\nabla P_\alpha = 0$ ,  $\nabla Q_\alpha = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

The compatibility of  $\nabla$  with the *ahp*-structure (F,G,H) is equivalent with the absolute parallelism of the eigendistributions of F,G and H, in the connection  $\nabla$ . But it is easy to show that all the distributions  $W_{\alpha}, W_{\alpha\beta}, \overline{W}_{\alpha}, \alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are absolute parallel in the connection  $\nabla$  if and only if  $W_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are. Hence we have

**Theorem 3.3.** The connection  $\nabla$  is compatible with the ahp-structure (F, G, H) if and only if it preserves by parallelism the distributions  $W_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

From  $F \circ G = G \circ F$  it follows  $\psi_F \circ \psi_G = \psi_G \circ \psi_F$ ,  $\chi_F \circ \chi_G = \chi_G \circ \chi_F$ ,  $C_F \circ C_G = C_G \circ C_F$ . After that  $\psi_F$  and  $\psi_G$  being affine projectors associated to linear projectors  $\chi_F$  and  $\chi_G$ , it follows that  $\psi_F \circ \psi_G$  is the affine projector associated to linear projector  $\chi_F \circ \chi_G$ , i.e.,

34) 
$$\psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla + \tau) = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla) + \chi_F \circ \chi_G(\tau)$$
.

From here it results

**Theorem 3.4.** The set  $C_{F,G}(M)$  of the connections compatible with the ap-structure (F,G,H) is given by

35) 
$$\nabla = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla^\circ) + \chi_F \circ \chi_G(\tau),$$

where  $\nabla^{\circ}$  is a fixed connection on M and  $\tau$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{D}_{2}^{1}(M)$ .

With other words, the set  $C_{F,G}(M)$ , of (F,G,H)-connections on M, is the image of the affine projector  $\psi_F \circ \psi_G$ 

36) 
$$C_{F,G}(M) = \operatorname{Im}(\psi_F \circ \psi_G),$$

i.e. it is the affine submodule of  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  passing by the (F, G, H)-connection  $\psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla^\circ)$ , which has the direction given by the linear submodule  $\operatorname{Im}(\chi_F \circ \chi_G)$  of  $\mathcal{D}_2^1(M)$ .

Taking in 35)  $\tau = 0$ , it follows that an *ahp*-structure (F, G, H) assigns to each connection  $\nabla^{\circ} \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ , an (F, G, H)-connection  $\nabla = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla^{\circ})$ , which may be written in the form

37) 
$$\nabla = \frac{1}{4} [\nabla^{\circ} + C_F(\nabla^{\circ}) + C_G(\nabla^{\circ}) + C_H(\nabla^{\circ})].$$

Hence, we have

**Proposition 3.2.** The (F, G, H)-connection  $\nabla$  associated to a connection  $\nabla^{\circ}$  is the mean connection of  $\nabla^{\circ}$  and its conjugate connections with respect to ap-structures F, G, H.

If we consider  $X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$  and  $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_{\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , we obtain from 37), taking into account 15),

38) 
$$\nabla_X Y_{\alpha} = P_{\alpha}(\nabla_X^{\circ} Y_{\alpha}), \ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Hence, we have

**Proposition 3.3.** The connections  $\nabla^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , induced by the (F, G, H)-connection  $\nabla$ , associated to  $\nabla^{\circ}$ , on the subbundles  $W_{\alpha}$  by restriction, coincide with the projections of  $\nabla^{\circ}$  on  $W_{\alpha}$ .

Taking 
$$Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$$
 and setting  $Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 Y_{\alpha}$ , with  $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_{\alpha})$ , we get

39) 
$$\nabla_X Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 P_\alpha(\nabla_X^\circ(P_\alpha Y))$$

i.e.

40) 
$$\nabla_X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 P_\alpha \circ \nabla_X^\circ \circ P_\alpha, \ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M).$$

**Definition 3.4.** An Otsuki quasiconnection [7] on M is a pair  $\mathcal{D} = (P, D)$ , formed by a tensor field  $P \in \mathcal{D}_1^1(M)$  and a mapping  $D : \mathcal{D}^1(M) \times \mathcal{D}^1(M) \to \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ , which is  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ -linear in the first argument,  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear in the second and satisfies

$$D_X(fY) = X(f)P(Y) + fD_XY, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{F}(M), \ X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(M).$$

It results that a linear connection is an Otsuki quasiconnection with P = I. From 7) and (40) it follows

**Proposition 3.4.** For a connection  $\nabla^{\circ}$  on M, the pairs  $\mathcal{D}_{X}^{\alpha} = (P_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha} \circ \nabla_{X}^{\circ} \circ P_{\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{D}^{1}(M)$  determine four Otsuki quasiconnections on M. The restrictions of  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$  to the subbundles  $W_{\alpha}$  coincide with the connections  $\nabla^{\alpha}$ , obtained from  $\nabla^{\circ}$  by projections and the sum of  $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$  is the (F, G, H)-connection  $\nabla$  associated to  $\nabla^{\circ}$ .

From 38) and 40) it follows

**Proposition 3.5.** A connection  $\nabla$  on M is an (F, G, H)-connection if and only if there are the connections  $\nabla^{\alpha}$  on the subbundles  $W_{\alpha}$  so that

41) 
$$\nabla_X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \nabla_X^{\alpha} \circ P_{\alpha}, \ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M).$$

It results also from 40)

**Proposition 3.6.** A vector field  $Y \in \mathcal{D}_2^1(M)$  is parallel along a curve  $\gamma \subset M$  in the (F, G, H)-connection  $\nabla$  associated to  $\nabla^{\circ}$ , if and only if its components  $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_{\alpha})$  are parallel along  $\gamma$  in the induced connections  $\nabla^{\alpha}$  on  $W_{\alpha}$ .

The problem of the integrability for an ahp-structure has been analysed in a more general framework by Walker [9,10], Hsu [4], Vidal and Vidal Costa [8] and others. We give here some characterizations specific for our case.

**Definition 3.5.** One sais that the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H) is *integrable* if all the distributions of the structure, i.e.  $W_{\alpha}, W_{\alpha\beta}, \overline{W}_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , are integrable.

One has

**Proposition 3.7.** The ahp-structure (F, G, H) is integrable if and only if the distributions  $W_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , are integrable.

Indeed, from  $W_{\alpha} = W_{\alpha\beta} \cap W_{\alpha\gamma}$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma$  and  $W_{\alpha\beta}$  integrable, it follows that  $W_{\alpha}$  are integrable. After that, from  $\overline{W}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} W_{\beta}$  and  $W_{\alpha}$ ,  $W_{\alpha\beta}$  integrable, it results  $\overline{W}_{\alpha}$  integrable.

Since  $W_{\alpha\beta}$  are the eigendistributions of the ap-structures F, G and H, from this proposition and the integrability of an ap-structure it follows

**Theorem 3.4.** The ahp-structure (F, G, H) is integrable if and only if the ap-structures F, G and H are integrable, i.e. their Nijenhuis tensors are zero

42) 
$$N_F = 0$$
,  $N_G = 0$ ,  $N_H = 0$ .

It is easy to verify that this condition is equivalent with

43) 
$$N_F = 0$$
,  $N_G = 0$ ,  $N_{F,G} = 0$ .

Considering a connection  $\nabla^{\circ}$  and its associated connection  $\nabla$  with respect to *ahp*-structure (F, G, H), given by 37), we obtain for the torsion of  $\nabla$ 

$$T(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta}) = P_{\beta}(\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{\circ} Y_{\beta}) - P_{\alpha}(\nabla_{Y_{\beta}}^{\circ} X_{\alpha}) - [X_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta}].$$

From here it follows

$$P_{\gamma}(T(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta})) = -P_{\gamma}[X_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta}], \ \forall \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Remarking that  $P_{\gamma}[X_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta}] = 0$ , for  $\gamma \neq \alpha \neq \beta$ , is equivalent with the integrability of the distribution  $W_{\alpha\beta}$ , it results

**Proposition 3.8.** The ahp-structure (F, G, H) is integrable if and only if there exists a connection  $\nabla^{\circ}$  on M so that the torsion of the associated connection  $\nabla$ , with respect to structure (F, G, H), satisfies

44) 
$$P_{\gamma} \circ T \circ (P_{\alpha} \times P_{\beta}) = 0, \ \forall \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Let now g be a metric on M i.e. a symmetric and nondegenerate (0,2)-tensor field.

**Definition 3.6.** One sais that the metric g is invariant to the ahp-structure (F, G, H), or that (F, G, H, g) is a mahp-structure on M if

45) 
$$g \circ (F \times F) = g$$
,  $g \circ (G \times G) = g$ ,  $g \circ (H \times H) = g$ .

As in the first section we can prove that on any paracompact manifold M there exist Riemannian metrics invariant to a given ahp-structure.

Setting

46) 
$$g_2 = g \circ (F \times I), \ g_3 = g \circ (G \times I), \ g_4 = g \circ (H \times I)$$

we obtain new metrics on M, invariant to ahp-structure (F, G, H), called the associated metrics to mahp-structure (F, G, H, g).

**Definition 3.7.** A connection  $\nabla$  on M is called *compatible* with the metric g if it satisfies

47) 
$$\nabla g = 0$$
.

Considering g as a mapping from  $\mathcal{D}^1(M)$  to  $\mathcal{D}_1(M)$ , which assigns to a vector field Y the 1-form  $\omega = g(Y, \cdot)$ , i.e.  $\omega(Z) = g(Y, Z)$ , for each  $Z \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ , we can associate to a connection  $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  and a tensor field  $\tau \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ , the affine and the linear projectors  $\psi_g$  and  $\chi_g$  given respectively by

48) 
$$\psi_g(\nabla)_X = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X + g^{-1} \circ \nabla_X \circ g), \ \chi_g(\tau)_X = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_X + g^{-1} \circ \tau_X \circ g).$$

As for an ap-structure F, for a metric g, we obtain

**Theorem 3.5.** The set  $C_q(M)$  of connections  $\nabla$  compatible with a metric g are given by

49) 
$$\nabla = \psi_q(\nabla^\circ) + \chi_q(\tau),$$

where  $\nabla^{\circ} \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  is fixed and  $\tau \in \mathcal{D}_{2}^{1}(M)$  is arbitrary.

If (F, G, H, g) is an mahp-structure on M, then  $\psi_F \circ \psi_g = \psi_g \circ \psi_F$ , etc., and  $\chi_F \circ \chi_g = \chi_g \circ \chi_F$ , etc. Thus from [1] it follows

**Theorem 3.6.** The set of connections on M, compatible with the mahp-structure (F, G, H, g) is given by

50) 
$$\nabla = \psi_F \circ \psi_G \circ \psi_g(\nabla^\circ) + \chi_F \circ \chi_G \circ \chi_g(\tau),$$

where  $\nabla^{\circ} \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  is fixed and  $\tau \in \mathcal{D}_{2}^{1}(M)$  is arbitrary.

We remark that if a connection is compatible with the mahp-structure (F, G, H, g) it is also compatible with the associated metrics  $g_2, g_3, g_4$ . Taking in 50)  $\nabla^{\circ} = \nabla^g$ , the Levi-Civita connection of g, we have  $\psi_g(\nabla^g) = \nabla^g$  and setting  $\tau = 0$ , we obtain

**Proposition 3.9.** The connection  $\widetilde{\nabla} = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla^g)$ , i.e. the (F, G, H, g)-connection associated to Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^g$  of g, is compatible with the mahp-structure (F, G, H, g) on M.

**Definition 3.8.** We call the connection  $\widetilde{\nabla} = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla^g)$ , the first canonical connection associated to mahp-structure (F, G, H, g).

Considering an (F, G, H)-connection  $\nabla$  on M, we have  $\psi_F(\nabla) = \psi_G(\nabla)$ 

 $=\nabla$  and therefore for the connection  $\widetilde{\nabla} = \psi_F \circ \psi_G \circ \psi_g(\nabla)$ , compatible with the *mahp*-structure (F, G, H, g), we obtain  $\widetilde{\nabla} = \psi_g(\nabla)$ . Hence, we have

**Proposition 3.10.** If  $\nabla$  is an (F, G, H)-connection on M, then  $\overline{\nabla} = \psi_g(\nabla)$  is compatible with the mahp-structure (F, G, H, g).

Let  $\nabla$  be an (F, G, H)-connection on M. As we have seen, setting  $\nabla_X^{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} = \nabla_X Y_{\alpha}$ , for  $X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$  and  $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_{\alpha\beta})$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , we obtain a connection  $\nabla^{\alpha}$  on each subbundle  $W_{\alpha}$  of TM. Considering then as torsion for the connection  $\nabla^{\alpha}$ , the tensor field  $T^{\alpha} = P_{\alpha} \circ T \circ (P_{\alpha} \times P_{\alpha})$  restricted to  $W_{\alpha}$ , where T is the torsion of  $\nabla$ , we obtain

51) 
$$T^{\alpha}(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}) = \nabla_{X_{\alpha}} Y_{\alpha} - \nabla_{Y_{\alpha}} X_{\alpha} - P_{\alpha}[X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}], \ \forall X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^{1}(M, W\alpha), \ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Now we can prove

**Theorem 3.7.** Given a Riemannian mahp-structure (F, G, H, g) on M, there exists a unique connection  $\widehat{\nabla}$  on M which satisfies

52) a) 
$$\widehat{\nabla} F = 0$$
,  $\widehat{\nabla} G = 0$ ; b)  $P_{\beta} \circ \widehat{T} \circ (P_{\alpha} \times P_{\beta}) = 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ; c)  $\widehat{T}^{\alpha} = 0$ ; d)  $\widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}} \overset{\alpha}{g} = 0$ , where  $\overset{\alpha}{g} = g \circ (P_{\alpha} \times P_{\alpha})$  and  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

Indeed, from a) we obtain  $\widehat{\nabla}_X Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_{\alpha}), \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M), Y_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_{\alpha}), \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4,$  and taking into account b) it follows

53) 
$$\widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}}Y_{\beta} = P_{\beta}[X_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta}], \ \alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

After that, from c) and d) we have

54) 
$$\widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}}Y_{\alpha} - \widehat{\nabla}_{Y_{\alpha}}X_{\alpha} - P_{\alpha}[X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}] = 0, X_{\alpha}\overset{\circ}{g}(Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}) = \overset{\circ}{g}(\widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}}Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}) + \overset{\circ}{g}(X_{\alpha}, \widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}}Z_{\alpha}).$$

Thus, as well as in the Riemannian case, it follows from here

$$\begin{split} 2 \overset{\alpha}{g} ( \widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}} Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha} ) &= X_{\alpha} \overset{\alpha}{g} (Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}) + Y_{\alpha} \overset{\alpha}{g} (Z_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}) - Z_{\alpha} \overset{\alpha}{g} (Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}) - \\ & - \overset{\alpha}{g} (X_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha} [Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}]) + \overset{\alpha}{g} (Y_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha} [Z_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}]) + \overset{\alpha}{g} (Z_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha} [X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}]), \\ & \forall X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}^{1} (M, W_{\alpha}). \end{split}$$

Hence, we have obtained a unique connection  $\widehat{\nabla}$  which preserves the ahp-structure (F, G, H), but generally it does not preserves the metric g. More precisely, we have

56) 
$$(\widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}}g)(Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}) = 0$$
,  $\widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}}g(Y_{\beta}, Z_{\beta}) = (\mathcal{L}_{X_{\alpha}}\overset{\alpha}{g})(Y_{\beta}, Z_{\beta})$ ,  $(\widehat{\nabla}_{X_{\alpha}}g)(Y_{\beta}, Z_{\gamma}) = 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,

where  $\mathcal{L}_X$  is the Lie derivative with respect to X. Setting then  $\overline{\nabla} = \psi_g(\widehat{\nabla})$ , it follows from Proposition 3.10 that  $\overline{\nabla}$  is an (F, G, H, g)-connection on M.

**Definition 3.9.** We call the connection  $\overline{\nabla} = \psi_q(\widehat{\nabla})$  the second canonical connection for the Riemannian mahp-structure (F, G, H, g).

**Example.** Let N be a manifold and M = TN the total space of the tangent bundle  $\pi: TN \to N$ . Setting for each 1-form  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_1(N)$ , given locally by  $\mu(x) = \mu_i(x)dx^i$ ,  $\gamma(\mu)(z) = \mu_i(x)y^i$ , where  $z=(x,y)\in T_xN$ , we obtain a class of functions on TN, with the following property. For any two vector fields  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}^1(TN)$ , we have A = B if and only if  $A(\gamma \mu) = B(\gamma \mu)$ , for each  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_1(N)$ .

Let  $\nabla$  be a connection and X a vector field on N. Setting

57) 
$$X^h(\gamma\mu) = \gamma(\nabla_X\mu), \ X^v(\gamma\mu) = \mu(X) \circ \pi, \ \forall \mu \in \mathcal{D}_1(N),$$

we obtain two vector fields  $X^h$  and  $X^v$  on TN, called respectively the horizontal and the vertical lifts of X. For an 1-form  $\omega$  on N, the horizontal and vertical lifts are given by

58) 
$$\omega^h(X^h) = \omega(X) \circ \pi$$
,  $\omega^h(X^v) = 0$ ;  $\omega^v(X^h) = 0$ ,  $\omega^v(X^v) = \omega(X) \circ \pi$ ,  $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(N)$ .

After that, setting

59) 
$$F(X^h) = X^h$$
,  $F(X^v) = -X^v$ ,  $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(N)$ ,

we obtain an apc-structure F on TN, having as eigendistributions  $F^+$  and  $F^-$ , the horizontal distribution HTN of the connection  $\nabla$  and the vertical distribution VTN of the fibration. For  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1^1(TN)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{D}_2^0(N)$  we define the horizontal and vertical lifts  $f^h$ ,  $f^v$  and  $g^h$ ,  $g^v$  on TN, by

60) 
$$f^h(X^h) = f(X)^h$$
,  $f^h(X^v) = 0$ ;  $f^v(X^h) = 0$ ,  $f^v(X^v) = f(X)^v$ ,  $g^h(X^h, Y^h) = g(X, Y) \circ \pi$ ,  $g^h(X^h, Y^v) = g^h(X^v, Y^h) = g^h(X^v, Y^v) = 0$ ,  $g^v(X^h, Y^h) = g^v(X^h, Y^v) = g^v(X^v, Y^h) = 0$ ,  $g^v(X^v, Y^v) = g(X, Y) \circ \pi$ ,  $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(N)$ .

Let now (f,g) be a map-structure on N i.e.  $g \circ (f \times f) = g$  and  $\widetilde{g} = g \circ (f \times I)$  its associated metric. Considering the lifts

61) 
$$G = f^h + f^v$$
,  $H = f^h - f^v$ ,  $g_1 = g^h + g^v$ ,  $g_2 = g^h - g^v$ ,  $g_3 = \widetilde{g}^h + \widetilde{g}^v$ ,  $g_4 = \widetilde{g}^h - \widetilde{g}^v$ ,

one obtains

**Theorem 3.8.** If (f,g) is a map-structure,  $\tilde{g}$  its associated metric and  $\nabla$  a linear connection on N, then the tensor fields  $F, G, H, g_1$  given by 59) and 61), determine on TN a mahp-structure with the associated metrics  $g_2, g_3, g_4$ .

We remark that the pairs  $(f^h, g^h)$  and  $(f^v, g^v)$  determine map-structures on the subbundles HTN and VTN with  $\tilde{g}^h$  and  $\tilde{g}^v$  as associated metrics. After that the distributions (subbundles)  $W_{\alpha}$  of the ahp-structure (F, G, H) are given by

62) 
$$W_1 = (f^h)^+, W_2 = (f^h)^-, W_3 = (f^v)^+, W_4 = (f^v)^-$$

Considering local coordinates  $(x^i)$  on N and  $(x^i, y^i)$  on TN, where for  $z = (x, y) \in T_xN$ ,  $y = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  and setting for  $\nabla$ ,  $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ , we obtain on TN the local vector fields and 1-forms

63) 
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^h = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma^k_{ij} y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \ \delta y^i = (dx^i)^v = dy^i + \Gamma^i_{kj} y^j dx^k.$$

Hence, to the natural basis  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)$  and co-basis  $(dx^i)$  on N, we can associate on TN the adapted basis  $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)$  and co-basis  $(dx^i, \delta y^i)$ . In these bases, denoting by the same letter the matrix of each tensor field, we obtain

64) 
$$F = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $G = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $H = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & -f \end{bmatrix}$ , 
$$g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & -g \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $g_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{g} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $g_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & -\widetilde{g} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Therefore,  $\operatorname{tr} F = \operatorname{tr} G = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{tr} H = 2\operatorname{tr} f$  and hence F and G determine always apc-structures on TN and G determines an apc-structure if and only if f determines an apc-structure on N. If g is a Riemannian metric on N, then  $(F,G,H,g_1)$  is a Riemannian mahp-structure on TN,  $g_1$  being the Sasaki metric associated to metric g and the connection  $\nabla$  on N. The associated metrics  $g_2,g_3,g_4$  are always of hyperbolic type. More precisely,  $g_2$  and  $g_4$  are always of neutral type and  $g_3$  is neutral if and only if f is an apc-structure on f. In an orthogonal basis on f formed by eigenvectors of f and the basis on f formed by the corresponding horizontal and vertical lifts of these, the tensors of the Riemannian f formed by the corresponding horizontal and vertical lifts of these, the tensors of the Riemannian f formed by the corresponding horizontal and vertical lifts of f these, the tensors of the Riemannian f formed by the corresponding horizontal and vertical lifts of f these, the tensors of the Riemannian f formed by the corresponding horizontal and vertical lifts of f these, the tensors of the Riemannian f formed by the corresponding horizontal and f formed by f

65) 
$$D_{X^h}Y^h = (\nabla_X Y)^h$$
,  $D_{X^h}Y^v = (\nabla_X Y)^v$ ,  $D_{X^v}Y^h = D_{X^v}Y^v = 0$ ,  $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(N)$ .

For the horizontal and vertical lifts of  $f \in \mathcal{D}_1^1(N)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{D}_2^0(N)$ , we obtain

66) 
$$D_{X^h}(f^h) = (\nabla_X f)^h$$
,  $D_{X^v}(f^h) = 0$ ,  $D_{X^h}(f^v) = (\nabla_X f)^v$ ,  $D_{X^v}(f^v) = 0$ ;  $D_{X^h}(g^h) = (\nabla_X g)^h$ ,  $D_{X^v}(g^h) = 0$ ,  $D_{X^h}(g^v) = (\nabla_X g)^v$ ,  $D_{X^v}(g^v) = 0$ ,  $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(N)$ .

If (f, g) is a map-structure and  $\tilde{g}$  its associated metric, we get for the mahp-structure  $(F, G, H, g_1)$  and the associated metrics  $g_2, g_3, g_4$ ,

$$\begin{split} DF &= 0, \ D_{X^h}G = (\nabla_X f)^h + (\nabla_X f)^v, \ D_{X^v}G = 0, \\ D_{X^h}H &= (\nabla_X f)^h - (\nabla_X f)^v, \ D_{X^v}H = 0; \\ D_{X^h}g_1 &= (\nabla_X g)^h + (\nabla_X g)^v, \ D_{X^v}g_1 = 0, \\ D_{X^h}g_2 &= (\nabla_X g)^h - (\nabla_X g)^v, \ D_{X^v}g_2 = 0 \\ D_{X^h}g_3 &= (\nabla_X \widetilde{g})^h + (\nabla_X \widetilde{g})^v, \ D_{X^v}g_3 = 0, \\ D_{X^h}g_4 &= (\nabla_X \widetilde{g})^h - (\nabla_X \widetilde{g})^v, \ D_{X^v}g_4 = 0, \ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(N). \end{split}$$

Hence, DF is always zero, DG and DH are simultaneous zero and namely when  $\nabla f$  is zero.  $Dg_1$  and  $Dg_2$  are zero for  $\nabla g = 0$  and  $Dg_3$  and  $Dg_4$  are zero for  $\nabla \tilde{g} = 0$ . Resuming the previous considerations we obtain finally

**Theorem 3.9.** If (f,g) is a map-structure on N and  $\nabla = \psi_f(\nabla^g)$  is the canonical (f,g)-connection associated to it, then  $(F,G,H,g_1)$  is a mahp-structure on TN and the diagonal lift D of  $\nabla$  is compatible with this structure.

## REFERENCES

- Cruceanu, V., Connections compatibles avec certaines structures sur un fibré vectoriel banachique, Czechoslovak. Math. J. 24 (99), 1974, 126–142.
- Cruceanu, V., Fortuni, P. and Gadea, P., A Survey on Paracomplex Geometry. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 26 (1996), n. 1, 83-115.
- 3. Cruceanu, V., On Certain Lifts in the Tangent Bundle, An. Şt. Univ. "Al.I. Cuza", tom XLVI, s. I-a, Mat. 46 (2000), f. 1, 57-72.
- Hsu, C.J., On Some Properties of π-Structures on Differentiable Manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. v. 12 (1960), 428-454.
- Legrand, J., Etude d'une généralisation des structures presque-complexes sur les variétés différentiables, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 7 (1958), 323-354; ibid. 8(1959), 5-48.
- Liberman, P., Sur le problème d'équivalence de certaines structures infinitésimales. Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 4 (36) (1954), 27-120.
- Otsuki, T., On General Connections I, II. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 9 (1960), 99-164, 10 (1961), 113-124.
- Vidal, E. and Vidal Costa E., Special Connections and Almost Foliated Metrics, J. Diff. Geom. 8 (1973), 297-304.
- Walker, A.G., Connections for Parallel Distributions in the Large. Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 9(1958), 221-231.
- 10. Walker, A.G., Almost Product Structures, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. v. 3 (1961), 94-100.